

On Polyharmonic Interpolation

Werner Haußmann and Ognyan Kounchev

Abstract

In the present paper we will introduce a new approach to multivariate interpolation by employing polyharmonic functions as interpolants, i.e. by solutions of higher order elliptic equations. We assume that the data arise from C^∞ or analytic functions in the ball B_R . We prove two main results on the interpolation of C^∞ or analytic functions f in the ball B_R by polyharmonic functions h of a given order of polyharmonicity p .

MSC 2000 Classification: 41 A 05, secondary 31 B 30, 65 D 05

Key words: polyharmonic functions, multivariate interpolation

1 Introduction and statement of results

Interpolation theory is one of the oldest and most classical subjects of mathematical analysis. It has been established in the work of Newton, Lagrange and numerous other mathematicians. Interpolation plays a fundamental role in algebraic geometry and numerical analysis, in particular in approximation of integrals (quadrature and cubature formulas), in finite element methods, and others.

There is a number of approaches to multivariate interpolation which are based on multivariate polynomials and radial basis functions (RBF), see e.g. [20], [6], [19], [7], [18]. From the practical point of view the problem of interpolation of scattered data has been treated successfully by means of tools such as RBF (see e.g. [19], [9] and references there) or polysplines (see [15]), which are in general not globally analytic.

So far there remains the fundamental problem from the point of view of mathematical analysis to construct a multivariate interpolation theory based on *globally analytic* tools. The multivariate polynomials fail to deliver such tools. Indeed, it is well known and quite clear that multivariate polynomial interpolation differs in important ways from its univariate counterpart. The main difference is the fact that the multivariate polynomials fail to constitute a Chebyshev system, cf. [1], [10].

Furthermore, let us recall that in the one-dimensional case the polynomial interpolation is closely related to a wide class of quadrature formulas. And the existing multivariate interpolation theories mentioned above do not provide a satisfactory theory of multivariate cubatures.

On the other hand, objects like the solutions of elliptic PDEs, in particular the polyharmonic functions, have entered the scene of approximation and spline

theory (see e.g. [11], [12], [13], [14], [8], [15] and the references given there), and they satisfy a generalized definition of a Chebyshev system, see [16]. Is there an interpolation theory based on solutions of elliptic PDEs which provides a satisfactory analog to the classical one-dimensional results?

In the present paper we address the above question by considering an interpolation theory based on polyharmonic functions. Let us recall that a function h is polyharmonic of order p in a domain $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ if it satisfies the equation $\Delta^p h(x) = 0$ in D , cf. [2], [20]. It is important to emphasize the fact that in order to obtain satisfactory interpolation results one has to reconsider the whole paradigm of "set of interpolation points". In particular, in view of the fact that the space of polyharmonic functions is infinite-dimensional, one may consider interpolation sets Γ which are the union of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Some results towards this interpolation theory have been obtained in [3], [11], [12], [8]. Let us focus on the analogy with the one-dimensional case: one is seeking such sets Γ which would correspond to the usual N points $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^1$ where a polynomial P of degree $\leq N - 1$ solves the interpolation problem

$$P(x_j) = c_j \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, N$$

for arbitrary data c_j . In particular, $P(x_j) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ implies $P \equiv 0$. It is clear that the main problem is to identify multivariate analogs to the "unisolvent" sets $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N\}$.

Let us draw the reader's attention to the obstacles faced by the usual theory of interpolation with polyharmonic functions, related to the zero sets of polyharmonic functions. In [3], [12], [8], and references therein, such sets of interpolation $\Gamma \subseteq D$ have been considered which are unions of N concentric spheres. It has been proved in these works that Γ is a set of uniqueness, i.e. if $\Delta^N h = 0$ in D and if $h(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \Gamma$ then $h \equiv 0$ in D . So far, attempts to consider sets Γ with a slightly more general geometry have led to a dead-end. In [3] (see the Russian edition of 1985) Atakhodzhaev has constructed a set of two closed convex curves γ_1 and γ_2 in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with γ_1 contained in the convex hull of γ_2 , such that there exists a (non-trivial) biharmonic function h with $\Delta^2 h = 0$ inside γ_2 and $h(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2$. This result has been dealt with in [8] as well.

The last fact completely destroys any hope of finding reasonable unisolvent sets living in the space $\mathbb{R}^n$. In the present paper we formulate a concept of interpolation where the unisolvent sets live in what we call a "semi-frequency domain" which arises from the Laplace-Fourier spherical harmonic expansion of a function, see formula (2) below.

In order to motivate our approach to *polyharmonic interpolation* let us recall that in the classical one-dimensional interpolation theory error estimates are proved when data c_j are obtained from a differentiable function, i.e.

$$c_j = f(x_j) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

with $f \in C^{N+1}$. In that case one may consider estimates of the error of interpolation

$$E_N[f](x) = f(x) - P_N(x),$$

see [17], [5]. More subtle results are obtained when f is an analytic function and $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Now let us turn to the multivariate situation. Corresponding to the univariate case, in order to obtain a reasonable *multivariate polyharmonic interpolation theory* we will assume that the multivariate data arise from C^∞ or analytic functions.

Let us first introduce some necessary notions and notations. We will work in the ball B_R defined by

$$B_R := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < R\}.$$

Assume that we have a basis of the space of *harmonic homogeneous polynomials* of degree k (called spherical harmonics) which are denoted as $Y_{k\ell}(x)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, and $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, d_k$, where

$$d_k = \frac{1}{(n-2)!} (n+2k-2)(n+k-3) \cdots (k+1), \quad (1)$$

see [21]. They are assumed to be orthonormalized with respect to the scalar product

$$\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} u(\theta) v(\theta) d\theta$$

on the unit sphere, where ω_{n-1} is the area of the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$; we have put

$$x = r\theta, \quad r = |x|.$$

Let us denote by $C^\infty(\overline{B_R})$ the set of C^∞ functions on a neighborhood of $\overline{B_R}$. For $f \in C^\infty(\overline{B_R})$ we have the expansion in spherical harmonics

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_k} \tilde{f}_{k,\ell}(r) Y_{k,\ell}(\theta). \quad (2)$$

We will use the following representation of C^∞ and of analytic functions in the ball, see [4, p. 501, Proposition 1]:

Proposition 1 *Let f be in $C^\infty(\overline{B_R})$. Then we have the following expansion*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_k} f_{k,\ell}(r^2) r^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta) \quad (3)$$

where the functions $f_{k,\ell} \in C^\infty([0, R^2])$. The function f is analytic in some neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if there exist $t_0 > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that, for all indices $k \geq 0$, $1 \leq \ell \leq d_k$, and $j \geq 0$, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_0} \left| \left(\frac{d^j}{dt^j} \right) f_{k,\ell}(t) \right| \leq M^{k+j+1} j!. \quad (4)$$

I.e. f is analytic if and only if (4) holds, and in that case each function $f_{k,\ell}$ is also analytic.

On the other hand if h is a function polyharmonic of order N in the ball B_R , then we have as in (3) the expansion

$$h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_k} h_{k,\ell}(r^2) r^k Y_{k,\ell}(\theta), \quad (5)$$

and it is well known (see Sobolev [20], or [15]) that the coefficients $h_{k,\ell}(\cdot)$ are polynomials of degree $N-1$. Thus we may put into correspondence the functions $f_{k,\ell}$ and the polynomials $h_{k,\ell}$, which is the core of the polyharmonic interpolation.

The polyharmonic interpolation problem is now very natural to formulate: Assume that for every fixed (k, ℓ) with $k = 0, 1, \dots$ and $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, d_k$ we have interpolation points which we assume to be pairwise different:

$$0 \leq r_{k,\ell,1} < r_{k,\ell,2} < \dots < r_{k,\ell,N} \leq R.$$

Then for every (k, ℓ) we find the polynomials $h_{k,\ell}$ of degree $\leq N-1$ from the one-dimensional interpolation problems

$$h_{k\ell}(r_{k,\ell,j}^2) = f_{k\ell}(r_{k,\ell,j}^2) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, N. \quad (6)$$

Now *the main question* is: For which distribution of the points $\{r_{k,\ell,j}\}$ and for which functions f is the series in (5) convergent? If we have convergence then we will call the function h a **polyharmonic interpolant of order N** . Our first result says that for every distribution of the points $\{r_{k,\ell,j}\}$ and for a wide class of C^∞ functions f we have convergence. Indeed, we have the following amazing result.

To make our result more transparent we will introduce the following seminorms denoted by $\|\cdot\|_N$, which are motivated by (4):

$$\|f\|_N := \overline{\lim}_{k,\ell} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq R} \left| \frac{1}{N!} \left(\frac{d^N}{dt^N} \right) f_{k,\ell}(t) \right|^{\frac{1}{k+N+1}}. \quad (7)$$

We see that

$$\sup_{N \geq 0} \|f\|_N = M$$

where M is the constant in (4).

Theorem 2 *Let the function f be C^∞ in a neighborhood of the closed ball $\overline{B_R}$ and the interpolation knots $\{r_{k,\ell,j}\}_{k,\ell,j}$ satisfy*

$$0 \leq r_{k,\ell,j} \leq R,$$

where $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, d_k$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. If the seminorm $\|f\|_N$ satisfies

$$R \|f\|_N < 1, \quad (8)$$

then there exists a unique polyharmonic interpolation function $h(r\theta)$ of order N which belongs to $L_2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ for every $r \leq R$, and h belongs to $L_2(B_R)$.

Assuming (8), the error of interpolation is given by

$$\|f(r\theta) - h(r\theta)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \leq CR^{2N} \|f\|_N^{N+1}.$$

We see that in a certain sense the above Theorem 2 presents a complete analog to the one-dimensional interpolation since we may take arbitrary knots of interpolation $r_{k,\ell,j}$. However we see that condition (8) is a restriction on the arbitrariness of the data f and this is the price which we have to pay for the infinite-dimensionality of the problem. Does this restriction imply a specialization in the one-dimensional case? The answer is "no". Indeed, since the one-dimensional polyharmonic functions of order N are just polynomials of degree $\leq 2N - 1$ we see that condition (8) is trivially fulfilled due to $\lim$ in (7).

There is still another way to consider the one-dimensional case embedded into the multivariate case, namely, when in the sums (3) and (5) only the term for $k = 0$ is non-zero. Then $f(x) = f_{0,1}(r^2)$ and $h(x) = h_{0,1}(r^2)$ where $h_{0,1}(\cdot)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq N - 1$. Indeed, in the one-dimensional a C^∞ function f is identical with the univariate analytic function $f_{0,1}(\cdot)$ in the expansion (2), and the knots are $r_{0,1,j}$ with $1 \leq j \leq N$. We see that in this case restriction (8) is always satisfied, i.e. Theorem 2 extends the one-dimensional theory in a natural way.

If we change the point of view, and consider f to be fixed, then we have to choose a radius R small enough to fulfill (8).

As a second result we consider the special case of the knots which are lying on N concentric spheres in $\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e. when the knots $\{r_{k,\ell,j}\}_{k,\ell,j}$ satisfy

$$r_{k,\ell,j} = r_j \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$$

for all indices (k, ℓ) . Assume that f is a function analytic in a neighborhood of $\overline{B_R}$, and that the polyharmonic function h is an interpolant of f , i.e. satisfies (6). From the expansions in spherical harmonics (3), (5) for every fixed r , and for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$, we see that the interpolation problem (6) is equivalent to the following polyharmonic interpolation problem on concentric spheres

$$h(r_j\theta) = f(r_j\theta) \quad \text{for } \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \quad (9)$$

Let us recall the following result from [20, Theorem XI.3],

Proposition 3 *Let φ be a function defined and continuous on the unit sphere. A necessary and sufficient condition for the analyticity of φ is that in the representation*

$$\varphi(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_k} \varphi_{k,\ell} Y_{k,\ell}(\theta)$$

the coefficients $\varphi_{k,\ell}$ have exponential decay, i.e. there exist two constants K and $\eta > 0$, such that

$$|\varphi_{k,\ell}| \leq Ke^{-\eta k} \quad \text{for every } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \ell = 1, 2, \dots, d_k. \quad (10)$$

Let us put

$$\varphi^j(\theta) := f(r_j\theta) \quad \text{for } \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

From the estimate (10) we see that for all $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ we have a number $\eta_j > 0$ such that

$$\left| \varphi_{k,\ell}^j(\theta) \right| \leq K e^{-\eta_j k}. \quad (11)$$

Now we have again the question of convergence of the series (5) and it is solved by the second main result of our paper:

Theorem 4 *Let the numbers r_j with $0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_N \leq R$ be given, and for the parameters η_j of the analytic functions φ^j defined in (11) the inequality*

$$R \cdot \max_j \left(\frac{e^{-\eta_j}}{r_j} \right) < 1 \quad (12)$$

be satisfied. Then the polyharmonic function of order of polyharmonicity N satisfying the interpolation problem (9) has an L_2 -convergent series in the ball B_R .

Finally, let us remark that the polyharmonic interpolation problem (6) may be considered as embedded in a more general scheme of interpolation theory [5] in the following way: Let us introduce the functionals

$$L_{k,\ell,j}(f) = \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(r_{k,\ell,j}\theta) Y_{k,\ell}(\theta) d\theta.$$

Then the polyharmonic interpolation problem (6) may be reformulated as the problem of finding the polyharmonic function h satisfying the infinite number of equations

$$L_{k,\ell,j}(h) = L_{k,\ell,j}(f) \quad \text{for all } k, \ell, j.$$

On the other hand we have a nice demonstration of the *polyharmonic paradigm* [15] in the present situation. As we said in the introduction, the expectation that the knots $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ in the one-dimensional interpolation theory will be replaced by closed surfaces γ_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ in the polyharmonic interpolation has failed. Let us consider the sets

$$\Gamma_j := \{(k, \ell), \rho_{k,\ell,j}\} : k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; \ell = 1, 2, \dots, d_k\}$$

with $\rho_{k,\ell,1} < \rho_{k,\ell,2} < \dots < \rho_{k,\ell,N}$. They may be considered as a multivariate generalization of the knots $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N$ in the univariate case where x_j is replaced by Γ_j . For a better understanding of the role of the sets Γ_j let us make analogy with $\mathbb{R}^n$ where the boundary ∂D of a star shaped domain D in $\mathbb{R}^n$ (centered at the origin 0) can be written in spherical coordinates as

$$\partial D = \{(\theta, \rho_\theta) : \text{for all } \theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}$$

for some function $\rho_\theta \geq 0$ defined on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The results of the present paper show that the knots of interpolation $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N$ in the one-dimensional interpolation theory have been replaced by the sequence of monotonely increasing "sphere-like" sets $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_N$.

2 Proof of Theorem 2: Polyharmonic Interpolant for General Knots

Here we provide the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof. By the definition of h in (5) and (6) $h_{k,\ell}$ are polynomials of degree $\leq N - 1$ and we may apply the classical results about the remainder of the interpolation, hence

$$R_{N-1}(x) = \frac{\omega(x)}{N!} f^{(N)}(\xi), \quad (13)$$

see [5] or [17, (3.2.10)], and we obtain the formal series

$$f(x) - h(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_k} Y_{k\ell}(\theta) r^k \frac{\omega_{k\ell}(r^2)}{N!} f_{k\ell}^{(N)}(\xi_{k\ell});$$

here as usually $\omega_{k,\ell}(r^2) = \prod_{j=1}^N (r^2 - r_{k,\ell,j}^2)$.

By the definition of $\|f\|_N$ it follows by a standard argument that the L_2 norm of the above is estimated by

$$\|f(r\theta) - h(r\theta)\|_{L_2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |f(r\theta) - h(r\theta)|^2 d\theta \quad (14)$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_k} \left| r^k \omega_{k\ell}(r^2) \|f\|_N^{k+N+1} \right|^2 \quad (15)$$

$$\leq CR^{4N} \|f\|_N^{2N+2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_k} \left| r^k \|f\|_N^k \right|^2.$$

The convergence of the last series follows from the assumption (8). Hence follows the L_2 -convergence of the series for the polyharmonic function h . Also the estimate for the error of interpolation follows directly. ■

3 Proof of Theorem 4: Polyharmonic Interpolation on N Concentric Spheres

Next we prove Theorem 4.

Proof. Let us write the expansion of φ^j in spherical harmonics

$$\varphi^j(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_k} \varphi_{k,\ell}^j Y_{k,\ell}(\theta). \quad (16)$$

Since the polyharmonic function h interpolating φ^j on the sphere of radius r_j has the form

$$h(x) = \sum_{k,\ell} Y_{k\ell}(\theta) r^k h_{k\ell}(r^2),$$

where $h_{k\ell}$ are polynomials of degree $N - 1$, we see that for all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, d_k$, and for all $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$ we need to have

$$r_j^k h_{k\ell}(r_j^2) = \varphi_{k\ell}^j;$$

hence,

$$h_{k\ell}(r_j^2) = \frac{\varphi_{k\ell}^j}{r_j^k}.$$

We have to prove that the series for h is L_2 -convergent i.e.

$$\sum_{k,\ell} \int_0^R |r^k h_{k\ell}(r^2)|^2 dr < \infty.$$

First we will find estimates for all $h_{k,\ell}$. We have the explicit representation for the polynomials $h_{k\ell}$ in the form given in Krylov [17, p. 42] and Davis [5, p. 33], where we put $x_j = r_{k,\ell,j}^2$. Let us put for the Lagrange fundamental functions

$$\omega_j^{k,\ell}(r^2) := \frac{(r^2 - x_0) \cdots (r^2 - x_{j-1})(r^2 - x_{j+1}) \cdots (r^2 - x_{N-1})}{(x_j - x_0) \cdots (x_j - x_{j-1})(x_j - x_{j+1}) \cdots (x_j - x_{N-1})}.$$

Then we have

$$h_{k\ell}(r^2) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \omega_j^{k,\ell}(r^2) \frac{\varphi_{k\ell}^j}{r_j^k}. \quad (17)$$

Bearing in mind that $r_0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_{N-1}$, we obtain (with the same K for all j 's and η_j 's), the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |h_{k\ell}(r^2)| &\leq K \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left| \omega_j^{k,\ell}(r^2) \right| \frac{e^{-\eta_j k}}{r_j^k} \\ &\leq \frac{K_1}{\delta} R^{2N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{e^{-\eta_j k}}{r_j^k}; \end{aligned}$$

here $K_1 > 0$ is a suitable constant and $\delta := \min_{j=1,2,\dots,N-1} (|x_j - x_{j-1}|)$. Hence we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_k} \int_0^R |r^k h_{k\ell}(r^2)|^2 dr &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_k} \int_0^R r^{2k} \left| \frac{K_1}{\delta} R^{2N} \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{e^{-\eta_j k}}{r_j^k} \right|^2 dr \\ &\leq \left(\frac{K_1}{\delta} R^{2N} \right)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{d_k} \left| \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{e^{-\eta_j k}}{r_j^k} \right|^2 \frac{R^{2k+1}}{2k+1} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{K_2}{\delta} R^{2N} \right)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{n-2} \left| \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{e^{-\eta_j k}}{r_j^k} \right|^2 \frac{R^{2k+1}}{2k+1}. \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the last inequality we have used the estimate

$$d_k \leq C k^{n-2}$$

for some constant $C > 0$ which follows from (1). Putting

$$M = \max_j \left(\frac{e^{-\eta_j}}{r_j} \right)$$

we obtain the estimate

$$\sum_{j=0}^N \frac{e^{-\eta_j k}}{r_j^k} \leq C (N+1) M^k.$$

The convergence of the series in (18) follows from the assumption

$$R \cdot \max_j \left(\frac{e^{-\eta_j}}{r_j} \right) < 1.$$

■

Remark 5 *If*

$$R \max_j \left(\frac{e^{-\eta_j}}{r_j} \right) > 1,$$

then in general one may not expect that the series representing the polyharmonic interpolant h will be convergent. This will be shown by the following example.

Example. We assume that for all j we have

$$\frac{e^{-\eta_j}}{r_j} = C, \tag{19}$$

so that $C = \max_j \left(\frac{e^{-\eta_j}}{r_j} \right)$. From (17) it follows that

$$h_{k\ell}(r^2) = C^k \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \omega_j^{k,\ell}(r^2),$$

and hence

$$\int_0^R |r^k h_{k\ell}(r^2)|^2 dr = C^{2k} \int_0^R r^{2k} \left| \sum_{j=0}^N \omega_j^{k,\ell}(r^2) \right|^2 dr.$$

According to the basic properties of the Lagrange coefficients (see e.g. [17, p. 42-43])

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \omega_j^{k,\ell}(r^2) = 1,$$

so we get

$$\int_0^R |r^k h_{k\ell}(r^2)|^2 dr = C^{2k} \int_0^R r^{2k} dr = C^{2k} \frac{R^{2k+1}}{2k+1}.$$

Finally, for a suitable constant $C_1 > 0$ the inequality

$$\sum_{k,\ell} \int_0^R |r^k h_{k\ell}(r^2)|^2 dr \geq C_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{n-2} C^{2k} \frac{R^{2k+1}}{2k+1}$$

holds true and the last series is divergent since $CR > 1$. The proof is finished using assumption (19).

If assumption (19) does not hold then we can see by standard asymptotics arguments that for large k we will have

$$h_{k\ell}(r^2) \approx C^k \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \omega_j^{k,\ell}(r^2),$$

and hence

$$\int_0^R |r^k h_{k\ell}(r^2)|^2 dr \geq C_2 C^{2k} \frac{R^{2k+1}}{2k+1}$$

for a suitable $C_2 > 0$. This proves the divergence of the series. ■

Acknowledgment. The authors acknowledge the support of the Institutes Partnership Project V-Koop-BUL/1014793 by the Alexander von Humboldt-Foundation, and the second author thanks the support of the Greek-Bulgarian IST contract for the period 2005–2007.

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for several helpful remarks which greatly enhanced the readability of the paper.

References

- [1] Achieser, N. I., *Theory of Approximation*. Ungar, New York, 1956.
- [2] Aronszajn, N., Creese, T. M. and Lipkin, L. J., *Polyharmonic Functions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1983.
- [3] Atakhodzhaev, M.A., *Ill-posed Internal Boundary Value Problems for the Biharmonic Equation*, VSP, Utrecht, 2002.
- [4] Baouendi, M., Goulaouic, C., and Lipkin, L., On the operator $\Delta r^2 + \mu(\partial/\partial r)r + \lambda$, J. Diff. Equations, 15 (1974), 499-509.
- [5] Davis, P. J., *Interpolation and Approximation*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York 1975.
- [6] de Boor, C., Ron, A., On multivariate polynomial interpolation, Constr. Approx., 6 (1990), p. 287–302.

- [7] *Approximation and Applications*, Selected papers from the 6th international workshop on Multivariate Approximation and Interpolation with Applications (MAIA 2001). Edited by M. Gasca. Adv. Comput. Math. 20 (2004), no. 1–3. Springer, Dordrecht, 2004.
- [8] Hayman, W., Korenblum, B., Representation and uniqueness theorems for polyharmonic functions, J. Anal. Math., 60 (1993), 113–133.
- [9] Jetter, K., J. Stöckler, and J. Ward, Error estimates for scattered data interpolation, Math. Comp., 68 (1999), 743–747.
- [10] Karlin, S., Studden, W., *Tchebycheff Systems: with applications in Analysis and Statistics*, Interscience, New York, 1966.
- [11] Kounchev, O., Distributed moment problem and some related questions on approximation of functions of many variables, In: Mathematics and Education in Mathematics, Publ. House of the Bulg. Acad. of Sciences, Sofia, 1985, 454–458.
- [12] Kounchev, O., A nonlocal maximum principle for the biharmonic equation and Almansi type formulas for operators which are squares of elliptic operators. In: Jubilee session devoted to the centennial of Acad. L. Chakalov, Samokov, 1986, 88–92.
- [13] Kounchev, O. I. Harmonicity modulus and applications to the approximation by polyharmonic functions. In: *Approximation by Solutions of Partial Differential Equations* (Hanstholm, 1991), 111–125, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [14] Kounchev, O., Sharp estimate of the Laplacian of a polyharmonic function and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 332 (1992), 121–133.
- [15] Kounchev, O., *Multivariate Polysplines. Applications to Numerical and Wavelet Analysis*, Academic Press, San Diego–London, 2001.
- [16] Kounchev, O., On the definition of multivariate Chebyshev systems, in preparation.
- [17] Krylov, N., *Approximate Calculation of Integrals*, MacMillan, New York, 1962.
- [18] Olver, P. J., On multivariate interpolation. Stud. Appl. Math. 116 (2006), no. 2, 201–240.
- [19] Schaback, R., Multivariate interpolation by polynomials and Radial Basis Functions, Constr. Approx., 21 (2005), p. 293–317.
- [20] Sobolev, S. L., *Introduction to the Theory of Cubature Formulas*, Nauka, Moscow, 1976; English translation in Gordon and Breach 1992.

- [21] Stein, E. M., Weiss, G., *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1971.

Werner Haußmann, Department of Mathematics, University of Duisburg–Essen, Lotharstr. 65, 47057 Duisburg, Germany;
haussmann@math.uni-duisburg.de

Ognyan Kounchev, Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Str. 8, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria;
kounchev@math.bas.bg, kounchev@math.uni-duisburg.de